



Burkina Faso

© CLEMENT TARDIF / SAVE THE CHILDREN



Research objective

To enable data users to identify the best available data source for a set of 17 key nutrition indicators (see Table 1) according to priorities for the specific data use.

The purpose of this data profile is:

- 1 To summarize all available primary data sources and secondary data aggregation platforms¹ for key nutrition indicators representative at the national level in Burkina Faso.
- 2 To assess the identified data sources for each indicator across four dimensions (see details in key to Table 2): (i) validity and comparability, (ii) timeliness, (iii) accessibility, and (iv) representativeness.
- 3 To identify data gaps in the national data system to effectively track progress on nutrition and/or inform policy and program decisions for improving nutrition in Burkina Faso.

¹ All types of data aggregation platforms were included such as scorecards and data visualization tools that reported on at least one of the target indicators for this assessment in a West African country.

² *Global Nutrition Monitoring Framework: Operational Guidance for Tracking Progress in Meeting Targets for 2025*, Geneva: WHO, 2017. Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO.

³ World Health Organization NCD monitoring framework

⁴ *Transform Nutrition West Africa* inception report. 2018

TABLE 1: 17 KEY NUTRITION INDICATORS

		WHA target	Nutrition status	Drivers
Children	U5 stunting			
	U5 wasting			
	U5 overweight			
	Low birthweight			
	Exclusive breastfeeding			
	Early initiation of breastfeeding			
	U5 anaemia			
	Minimum acceptable diet			
	Minimum dietary diversity			
Women of reproductive age	Anaemia			
	Wasting			
	Obesity			
	Minimum dietary diversity			
Adult	Sodium intake			
	Hypertension			
	Diabetes			
	Overweight and obesity			

The indicators included in this assessment cover maternal, infant and young child nutrition (MIYCN) status, underlying behaviours that drive nutritional status, and diet-related non-communicable diseases (NCDs). Several of the indicators track Burkina Faso's progress on global nutrition targets.^{2,3} In addition, the selected indicators represent important regional nutrition challenges and priority issues.⁴

TABLE 2: ASSESSMENT OF PRIMARY DATA SOURCES

Indicators		U5 stunting	U5 wasting	U5 overweight	Low birthweight	Exclusive breastfeeding	Early initiation of breastfeeding	U5 anaemia	Minimum acceptable diet	Minimum dietary diversity	Anaemia	Wasting/thinness	Obesity	Minimum dietary diversity	Sodium intake	Hypertension	Diabetes	Overweight and obesity	
Population		Children									Women of reproductive age				Adults				
Primary source ⁵	Quality																		
Demographic and Health Survey (EDSBF-MICS) (2010)	V	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++		-			
	T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-			
	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		+			
	R	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++		++			
Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey (MICS) (2006)	V	++	++	++	++	++	++									-			
	T	-	-	-	-	-	-									-			
	A	+	+	+	+	+	+									+			
	R	+	+	+	+	+	+									+			
Enquête Nutritionnelle Nationale (SMART) (2017)	V	++	++	++		++	++		+	+				+					
	T	++	++	++		++	++		++	++				++					
	A	-	-	-		-	-		-	-				-					
	R	++	++	++		++	++		++	++				++					
Priority Survey (1998)	V	?	?																
	T	-	-																
	A	+	+																
	R	++	++																
Core welfare indicators questionnaire survey (ECVM) (2007)	V	++	++																
	T	-	-																
	A	+	+																
	R	++	++																
Continuous multisectoral survey (EMC) (2014)	V	?	?		?														
	T	+	+		-														
	A	++	++		++														
	R	++	++		++														
Enquête Nationale sur l'Insécurité Alimentaire et la Malnutrition (ENIAM) (2008)	V	++	++		++	++	++		++	++		++	++						
	T	-	-		-	-	-		-	-		-	-						
	A	-	-		-	-	-		-	-		-	-						
	R	++	++		++	++	++		++	++		++	++						
Performance Monitoring and Accountability 2020 (PMA 2020) (2017)	V		-			?	?												
	T		++			++	++								++				
	A		+			+	+								+				
	R		+			+	+								+				
STEPS survey (2013)	V															++	++	++	
	T															-	-	-	
	A															-	-	-	
	R															++	++	++	
Malaria Indicator Survey (MIS) (2014)	V							++											
	T							++											
	A							-											
	R							++											

KEY TO TABLE 2


Dimension	List of items	Rating	
V Validity/ comparability	Does the data source use the specified global measurement method for the indicator or can it be calculated from other indicators in the dataset?	++	Uses the standard measure and specifies the method of measurement/calculation
		+	Uses the standard measure, but no information is given on the method of measurement/calculation
		-	Uses a different measure than the standard & the standard measure cannot be calculated from other indicators in the dataset
		?	The measure is not specified in any way
T Timeliness	Does the data collection respect the recommended frequency for the indicator?	++	Data collected according to the recommended frequency and last data collection within the window
		+	Data not collected according to the recommended frequency, but last data collection within the window
		-	Data not collected according to the recommended frequency and last data collection not in the window
		?	No information on the year of collection
A Accessibility	Are the results of the survey published?	++	Report and datasets publicly available
		+	Report publicly available and datasets available after authorization
		-	Report publicly available but datasets not available
		?	No information on the survey retrieved
R Representativeness	Is the survey representative at national and first-level administrative divisions?	++	Representative at national and first-level administrative divisions
		+	Representative at national level but not at first-level subdivision
		-	Subnational survey
		?	Representative at national level but no information on representativeness at first-level administrative divisions

⁵ https://westafrica.transformnutrition.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/06/DA_database_primary_sources_Final.xlsx

Search for all primary sources and data platforms completed in November 2018 and data quality validation completed in December 2018. See technical note for further details on search strategy and assessment approach: <https://westafrica.transformnutrition.org/output/data-integration-assessment-technical-note/>

TABLE 3: SECONDARY DATA PLATFORMS

Indicators	U5 stunting	U5 wasting	U5 overweight	Low birthweight	Exclusive breastfeeding	Early initiation of breastfeeding	U5 anaemia	Minimum acceptable diet	Minimum dietary diversity	Anaemia	Wasting/thinness	Obesity	Minimum dietary diversity	Sodium intake	Hypertension	Diabetes	Overweight and obesity
Population	Children								Women of reproductive age				Adults				
Data platforms																	
UNICEF/WHO/World Bank Group Joint Child Malnutrition estimates																	
Global Health Data Exchange (GHDx, IHME)																	
WHO data																	
Scaling Up Nutrition																	
World Bank Development Indicators																	
Our World in Data																	
Index Mundi																	
Global Nutrition Report																	
Countdown to 2030																	
Nutrition in the WHO African Region																	
Atlas of the African Health Statistics																	
Alive & Thrive/UNICEF country nutrition profile																	
UNICEF Global database on Infant and Young Child Feeding																	
UNICEF Global database on Iodized salt																	
UNICEF Global Low Birthweight database																	
Spring Nutrition																	
Iodine Global Network																	
NCD Risk Factor Collaboration																	
Diabetes Atlas																	

 Data platform reports on indicator.

1 Primary data sources (Table 2) and data platforms (Table 3)

- Ten nationally representative primary data sources were identified. The Demographic and Health Survey (DHS) covers the greatest number of indicators (13) followed by the Enquête Nationale sur l'Insécurité Alimentaire et la Malnutrition (ENIAM), which covers nine indicators.
- Nineteen data platforms were identified. Most platforms (10) use data directly from primary data sources, mainly the DHS and MICS, although many use data from other platforms. The Global Nutrition Report covers the most indicators (16) of any platform followed by Countdown to 2030 (10).
- All indicators covering the World Health Assembly (WHA) targets are reported on.
- Minimum dietary diversity for women of reproductive age (WRA) is not covered by any platform.
- Indicators of child nutrition are covered by more data sources and platforms compared to indicators of adult nutrition.
- Indicators of nutrition status are covered by more data sources and platforms compared to indicators of nutrition drivers.

2 Assessment of primary data sources

- None of the data sources meet the highest standard across all four data source quality dimensions.
- All primary sources use the specified global measurement method for selected indicators, except for sodium intake, and for reported indicators in the Priority Survey, the Continuous Multisectoral Survey (EMC), and the Performance Monitoring and Accountability 2020 (PMA 2020).
- There is only one data source (STEPS survey) reporting on hypertension, diabetes, and overweight/obesity among the general adult population which is not publicly accessible, nor timely.
- A publicly accessible report is available for all data sources, however, only one dataset (EMC) is publicly available, while six are accessible only after receiving authorization (DHS, MICS, the Priority Survey, the Core welfare indicators questionnaire survey (ECVM), PMA 2020, and the Malaria Indicator Survey (MIS)), and three (ENIAM, SMART, and STEPS) do not grant access to the datasets.
- More than half of all data sources are outdated and only child nutrition indicators are collected within the window of recommended frequency. Indicators for WRA and adult nutrition are all out of date and not timely. The most recent data are from the Enquête Nutritionnelle Nationale (SMART) and the PMA2020, both conducted in 2017.
- All data sources are representative at the national and a first-level administrative division except for the Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey (MICS) and PMA 2020, which are only representative at the national level and between urban and rural populations.

3 Identification of data gaps

- No platform reports on minimum dietary diversity among WRA as a measure of diet quality for this population.
- Data are lacking on key indicators of diet-related NCDs in Burkina Faso to report on NCD targets informatively.
- Indicators covering WRA and adults are not timely and are out of date, except for minimum dietary diversity among WRA. To optimally inform policy and program processes and track progress on adults and WRA, data should be collected within the recommended frequency for each given indicator.

This publication has not been peer reviewed. Any opinions stated in this brief are those of the author and are not necessarily representative of or endorsed by the International Food Policy Research Institute.

© 2019 International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI). This publication is licensed for use under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0). To view this license, visit <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>

To cite this publication:

Transform Nutrition West Africa (2019, June). Country Data Profile – Burkina Faso (Transform Nutrition West Africa, Country Data Profile #2) <https://westafrica.transformnutrition.org/output/country-data-profile-burkinafaso/>



Transform Nutrition West Africa is a regional platform to enable effective policy and programmatic action on nutrition. It is funded by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation from 2017–2021 and is led by the International Food Policy Research Institute.

E IFPRI-tnwa@cgiar.org W transformnutrition.org/westafrica T twitter.com/TN_NutritionRPC